

FISH & NEAVE

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

то	COMPANY/FIRM	RECIPIENT FAX	RECIPIENT PHONE
Albert Zervas, Esq.	Trademark Trial and Appeal Board South Tower Building 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202	703.746.7072	703.308.9330. x188
SENDER	DATE	Sender's FAX	SENDER'S PHONE
Martin A. Leroy	February 10, 2003	646.728.2618	212.596.9127
CUENT	RE:	TIME.	PAGES (INCLUDING COVER)
01214.001			4
MESSAGE			

This communication is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recision, you are hereby notified that the unauthorized dissemination of the communication is strictly promitted. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone. If not completely received, please call back at 212,596,9300 as soon as possible.

1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10020 TEL 212.596.9000 FAX 212.596.9090 525 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, PALO ALTO, CA 94301 TEL 650.617.4000 FAX 650.617.4090 1825 I STREET NW. SUITE 400, WASHINGTON, OC 20000 TEL 202.857.5222 FAX 202.857.5237



FISH & NEAVE

Hertort F. Schwartz
Eric C. Woglam
John E. Raillan
Robert C. Morgan
Kennath B. Herman
Robert R. Jackson
Josep J. Jenner
W. Enward Bridey
Patrice A. Martione
James F. Möley, Jr.
Richard M. Bornes
James F. Möley, Jr.
Richard M. Bornes
James F. Rogers

Mnopri N. Palazino
Robert J. Galomen'
Thermog L. Sertest
Daniel M. Genzi
Norman H. Bosmor Kevin J. Culfigan
Giorni A. Dustathour
Susan Progodi
Margaret A. Perm
Deuglas J. Caboort
Deuglas J. Caboort
Deuglas J. Caboort
Deuglas J. Bosoncerg
Mark H. Bosoncerg Jann A. Massaro
Dusha-Darda Hough
North D. Rowinno'
Ethward J. De Granco'
Etho R. Hubbord
Nassay I. Na
william J. McCaba
Morta E. Grass
John M. Hinz Ethenard A. Linz
Frunces M. Lyma
Cutistopher J. Hamed
A. Joy Armod

Steven Chemy
Joseph M. Guilland
Jestine C. Curria
Gorpid J. Fettimann, Jr
Kavin P.B. Johnson
Tenned J. P. Kosrindy
Robert W. Mornis
Avinguit S. Leie*
Jones T. Gunnison
Richard L. Rainey
Jones E. Hopenfald*
Pablo D. Vlendier
Brian C. Cannon*

Of Counsol

Xenneth A. Genoral
Gains W. Loo

Scaler Attorneys

A. Peter Adver
Thomas J. Venor
Charles Quinn
Liss E. Cristal
Gabriels E. Higgins*

Frederick P. Floh 1855-1930 Charles Neove 1867-1937

" Configuration and New York Barr. — Confirming Barr Conty — Outpending Barr Conty — DC Barr Only

MARTIN A. LEROY
DIRECT DIAL 212.596.9127
DIRECT FAX 646.728.2616
F-MAIL MLEROY@FISHNEAVE.COM

February 10, 2003

VIA FACSIMILE (CONF. BY FEDERAL EXPRESS)

Albert Zervas, Esq.
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
South Tower Building
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202

Re:

Galleon S.A. v. Havana Club Holding, S.A.

TTAB Cancellation No. 24,108

Dear Mr. Zervas:

This is in response to the letter to you from William R. Golden, Jr., counsel for petitioners, dated February 7, 2003, in which Mr. Golden argues that respondent Empresa Cubana Exportadora de Alimentos y Productos Various, S.A. ("Cubaexport") should not be granted any additional time to respond to petitioner's summary judgment motion in the above-referenced cancellation proceeding.

By order of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board, dated January 21, 2003, Cubaexport was joined as respondent in the cancellation proceeding. Cubaexport was not a party to the proceeding prior to January 21, was not represented by counsel in connection with this proceeding, and had no reason to be so represented prior to January 21. Subsequent to the Board's Order, Fish & Neave was asked by Cubaexport to represent it as counsel in this proceeding. Fish & Neave immediately applied to OFAC for a specific license pursuant to the

1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10020 TEL 212,596,9000 FAX 212,596,6000 525 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, PALO ALTO, CA 94301 TEL 650,617,4000 FAX 650,617,4090 1925 I STREET, NW, SUITE 400. WASHINGTON DC 20006 TEL 202,857,5222 FAX 202,857,5237 PISH & NEAVE

Albert Zervas, Esq. February 10, 2003 Page 2

Cuban Assets Control Regulations to (i) defend Cubaexport in the cancellation proceeding, (ii) solicit and receive fee payments and reimbursements for expenses incurred in connection with Fish & Neave's legal representation of Cubaexport, and (iii) travel to Cuba to meet with Cubaexport in connection with such representation.

Fish & Neave is not in a position to represent Cubaexport in this matter absent the requested OFAC license. Without the specific OFAC license Fish & Neave has sought, this firm cannot be paid for services performed for Cubaexport in connection with this proceeding (see American Airways Charter v. Reagan, 746 F.2d 865, 872 (D.C. Cir. 1984)("no fee can be paid counsel absent a separate, and express, authorization from OFAC") or travel to Cuba to meet with Cubaexport.

Mr. Golden also incorrectly asserts that Mr. Krinsky of Rabinowitz, Boudin, Standard, Krinsky and Lieberman was identified in the Board's January 21, 2003 Order as Cubaexport's "counsel of record" in this proceeding and that Fish & Neave must (i) take action to be "formally substituted as counsel of record," and (ii) serve a notice of appearance on behalf of Cubaexport. Mr. Golden, however, confuses the concepts of domestic representative with legal counsel in the proceeding. The Board identified Mr. Krinsky as Cubaexport's domestic representative upon whom service of notices or process for this proceeding may be made (see pages 7 and 19 of the Board's January 21, 2003 Order). Mr. Krinsky has not served as Cubaexport's counsel in this proceeding and has not been requested to do so. Therefore, no "substitution" of counsel is possible as Cubaexport has not been represented by any other counsel in this proceeding. Furthermore, there is no requirement that Fish & Neave serve "a notice of appearance" on behalf of Cubaexport in this proceeding.

Mr. Golden also says that Cubaexport has had more than ample time to prepare any response to the petitioners' summary judgment papers. Mr. Golden, however, ignores the fact that Cubaexport has only been a party to this proceeding since January 21, 2003 and that, prior to January 21, had no reason or obligation to retain and pay counsel to defend it in this

¹ Mr. Golden does not dispute that Cubaexport cannot pay Fish & Neave without the specific license Fish & Neave has sought. Moreover, Mr. Golden is incorrect when he says that Fish & Neave lawyers could travel to Cuba as "fully-hosted" travelers pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 515.420. That section does not apply because Fish & Neave would be providing a service to a Cuban national in connection with the travel. 31 C.F.R. §§ 515.420(a)(1) and (c).

² On February 7, Fish & Neave provided to Mr. Krinsky a copy of our February 5 letter to you.

PISH & NEAVE

Albert Zervas, Esq. February 10, 2003 Page 3

proceeding. Now that Cubaexport has been joined in the proceeding and has requested Fish & Neave's representation, Fish & Neave requires additional time to become familiar with the extensive history and legal issues in this matter, to meet with our client and to coordinate efforts with counsel for HCH.

Petitioners have not provided a legitimate reason as to why our request for an extension of time in which to respond to petitioners' summary judgment motion should be denied.

Sincerely yours,

Martin A. Leroy

MAL:afg

cc: William Golden, Esq. (Counsel for petitioners) (via facsimile) Charles Sims, Esq. (Counsel for respondent) (via facsimile)

Michael Krinsky, Esq. (via facsimile)